Enhancing Electrical System Resilience in Industrial Facilities Through Integrated Power Quality Monitoring and Fault Management

Tunji Semiu Adaramola[1*], Ibukun Olaoluwa Adeniji[2], Edikan Nse Gideon[3], Toheeb Oluwaseun Adamolekun[4]

[1]*Nestlé Nigeria Plc, Abaji Plant, Abaji, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria*

[2]*Sun-Show Nigeria Limited, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria*

[3]*IHS Towers, Delta State, Nigeria*

[4]*Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria*

**Corresponding author: Tunji Semiu Adaramola (tsadaramola@gmail.com)*

Abstract

Industrial facilities increasingly depend on electrically sensitive, digitally controlled, and highly interconnected systems whose performance can be disrupted by power quality disturbances and equipment faults. This review examines how integrated monitoring, diagnostic, protection, maintenance, and restoration practices can strengthen continuity and resilience in such environments. The study employed a structured narrative review of literature published up to 2022, drawing on research covering power quality disturbances, condition monitoring, SCADA systems, fault detection and classification, protection coordination, predictive maintenance, distributed energy resources, and resilience assessment. Particular attention was given to evidence from industrial and power-system contexts across developed and emerging economies.

The review found that voltage sags and swells, harmonics, grounding deficiencies, transient events, load variability, and converter-dominated operation can significantly impair equipment performance and production stability. Continuous sensing, smart metering, SCADA integration, machine-learning-assisted diagnosis, waveform analysis, and condition-based monitoring were identified as important mechanisms for recognizing abnormal conditions before they escalate. The evidence further showed that resilience depends not only on detecting faults but also on selective isolation, adaptive protection, maintenance prioritization, load management, network reconfiguration, and controlled restoration. Distributed generation, microgrids, demand flexibility, and predictive maintenance can further strengthen continuity when incorporated within coordinated operational strategies.

The review concludes that effective industrial resilience requires an integrated framework linking measurement, diagnosis, protection, maintenance, restoration, and organizational governance. It recommends wider deployment of interoperable monitoring architectures, periodic protection review, risk-based maintenance, resilience metrics, scenario testing, cybersecurity safeguards, and clearly defined restoration priorities. These measures can reduce downtime, limit fault propagation, protect critical assets, and improve recovery under increasingly complex operating conditions.

Keywords: industrial resilience; power quality; fault diagnosis; predictive maintenance; protection coordination; condition monitoring

DOI: 10.18091/ijsts.v6i1-147

1. Introduction

1.1 Industrial Electrical Reliability and Resilience

Industrial electrical reliability denotes the capacity of power-distribution assets, rotating machines, drives, control systems and auxiliary equipment to perform required functions consistently under stated operating conditions. Resilience extends this concept by emphasizing the ability of an electrical system to anticipate disturbances, absorb their effects, sustain critical loads, recover acceptable functionality and adapt following disruptive events. In industrial facilities, the distinction is consequential because a system may demonstrate high routine reliability yet remain vulnerable to low-frequency, high-impact events such as major equipment failures, upstream grid disturbances, severe voltage excursions, fires, cyber-physical disruptions or cascading protection operations. Contemporary resilience thinking therefore complements conventional reliability metrics by considering preparedness, response and recovery as explicit dimensions of electrical-system performance (Skarvelis-Kazakos et al., 2022).

Industrial facilities are especially sensitive to electrical disruption because production continuity increasingly depends on interconnected motors, variable-speed drives, programmable controllers, process instrumentation, communication networks and digitally coordinated protection. A disturbance affecting one critical component can propagate through tightly coupled production processes, converting a local electrical defect into plant-wide downtime, quality losses or safety exposure. Grid-planning literature similarly distinguishes conventional reliability, which is largely concerned with credible and statistically expected contingencies, from resilience, which addresses severe disturbances whose consequences exceed routine design assumptions (Moreno et al., 2020). For industrial operators, this implies that resilience must be engineered across equipment architecture, protection philosophy, operating procedures and recovery capability rather than treated as a property of individual components.

Maintenance strategy is central to this engineering objective. Time-based intervention remains useful where degradation is predictable, but condition-based maintenance can align maintenance actions more closely with actual asset health and operating context, thereby reducing unnecessary intervention while improving failure prevention (Ahmad & Kamaruddin, 2012). This logic is particularly relevant to transformers, motors, switchgear, cables and power-electronic equipment whose deterioration may be detectable through thermal, electrical, mechanical or insulation-related indicators before functional failure occurs. Diagnostics and prognostics consequently provide a bridge between measured equipment condition and maintenance decision-making, allowing organizations to detect incipient degradation, estimate future condition and prioritize intervention according to operational risk (Jardine, Lin & Banjevic, 2006).

The emergence of Industry 4.0 has strengthened this relationship by enabling continuous sensing, connected data acquisition and advanced analytics. Systematic evidence indicates that predictive-maintenance architectures increasingly combine sensors, industrial communication, cloud or edge computing and analytical models to anticipate failures and support more timely maintenance decisions (Zonta et al., 2020). Machine-learning approaches further expand this capability by extracting failure-related patterns from complex operational datasets, offering a pathway from periodic inspection toward continuously informed asset management (Carvalho et al., 2019). Electrical resilience therefore becomes increasingly dependent not only on robust hardware but also on the quality, timeliness and interpretability of condition information used to support maintenance and operational decisions.

Evidence from African industrial contexts reinforces the importance of adapting these principles to aging equipment, resource constraints and demanding production environments. In South African automotive manufacturing, condition-based maintenance structured through failure-mode-and-effects analysis has been proposed as a means of predicting equipment condition and reducing unplanned downtime associated with aging industrial assets (Ramere & Laseinde, 2021). Within Nigerian manufacturing, integrating reliability-centred maintenance with continuous-improvement principles has likewise been framed as a means of linking asset criticality, failure prevention and operational performance within a coordinated reliability strategy (Olutimehin & Ganiu, 2022).

A resilient industrial electrical system must consequently be designed around layered safeguards: dependable supply paths, appropriate redundancy, selective protection, maintainable equipment, disciplined operating practices and mechanisms for rapid detection and recovery. The maintenance philosophy must also evolve from repair after breakdown toward evidence-led anticipation of failure. Nigerian work on the transition from reactive to predictive maintenance emphasizes this shift toward condition awareness, planned intervention and reduced dependence on breakdown-driven action (Sunday et al., 2020). For facilities seeking stronger electrical

resilience, the practical objective is therefore not the elimination of all failures, which is unrealistic, but the creation of systems capable of limiting fault consequences, sustaining priority operations and restoring safe performance with minimal disruption. This systems perspective also supports lifecycle planning, spare-parts readiness, workforce competence and coordinated contingency arrangements across industrial infrastructure.

1.2 Power Quality Challenges in Industrial Systems

Industrial power quality is a determinant of resilience because production environments depend on sensitive electrical equipment whose performance can deteriorate under disturbances. Voltage sags, swells, interruptions, waveform distortion, frequency deviations, unbalance and poor power factor can disrupt programmable logic controllers, variable-speed drives, protection devices, data systems and automated production lines. In facilities with nonlinear and electronically controlled loads, harmonic currents can increase losses, overheat transformers and cables, distort bus voltages and reduce the effective capacity of electrical infrastructure. Evidence from light and heavy industries shows that harmonics, reactive power and equipment interactions have become central power-quality concerns as industrial networks incorporate more power-electronic converters and distributed resources (Lumbreras et al., 2020).

Industrial facilities in developing economies face additional challenges because generation variability, constrained distribution infrastructure and changing load profiles can interact with on-site renewable generation. Optimizing electrical load distribution in hybrid solar installations is therefore important for matching supply with demand, limiting stress on network components and maintaining acceptable operating conditions as renewable penetration expands (Sunday & Omoegun, 2019). Such challenges are relevant where industrial facilities combine utility supply, photovoltaic generation, batteries, standby generators and critical process loads within one distribution architecture.

Grounding performance also influences the severity and management of abnormal electrical conditions. Poorly designed grounding can compromise personnel safety, equipment protection and fault-current dissipation, while effective grounding supports protective-device operation and limits hazardous touch and step potentials in medium-voltage systems. Design optimization is consequently important in emerging power markets where network expansion, soil conditions and resource constraints may complicate conventional grounding practice (Shittu et al., 2020).

Power-quality degradation is equally evident in energy-intensive African industries. A South African coal-mining case study demonstrated the significance of reactive-power demand and harmonic distortion in large nonlinear industrial loads, while showing that passive and hybrid filtering can improve compensation performance and reduce electrical inefficiencies (Roos & Bansal, 2019). These challenges indicate that industrial resilience cannot be achieved through

equipment redundancy alone. It also requires continuous visibility of electrical conditions, coordinated mitigation of disturbances and timely identification of deviations before they escalate into trips, equipment damage or production losses. Integrated power-quality monitoring therefore provides the diagnostic foundation for linking measured disturbances with fault management, maintenance prioritization and operational decision-making across industrial electrical systems.

1.3 Fault Management and Operational Continuity

Fault management is central to operational continuity because industrial electrical systems operate as tightly coupled networks in which a localized defect can interrupt production, damage equipment or compromise safety. Effective management requires more than protective tripping; it combines early detection, accurate diagnosis, selective isolation, restoration planning and post-fault learning. Modern diagnostic practice increasingly uses voltage, current and high-frequency signatures to distinguish fault type and location, enabling maintenance teams to act before abnormalities develop into wider network disturbances (Furse et al., 2021).

Within industrial facilities, continuity depends on shortening the interval between fault inception and corrective action. Reliability-based fault analysis links detection and diagnosis with risk prioritization, maintenance scheduling and asset criticality. This approach is valuable in process industries, power generation and other asset-intensive environments where unplanned outages impose substantial production and safety consequences (Ahmed, 2021). Fault-management architectures should therefore identify critical loads, coordinate protective devices, preserve healthy network sections and establish restoration sequences that return essential processes to service without propagating instability.

Sensor-based monitoring provides an important operational layer because measurements can reveal abnormal temperature, vibration, pressure or electrical behaviour before functional failure occurs. In Nigerian applications, HVAC monitoring illustrates how sensor data and automated diagnostic logic can support earlier fault recognition and proactive maintenance decisions (Sunday & Omoegun, 2022). Similar principles are applicable to motors, switchgear, transformers and auxiliary systems where emerging defects may otherwise remain unnoticed until protection operates.

Transformer condition assessment further demonstrates the value of integrating diagnosis with continuity planning. South African evidence shows that dissolved-gas analysis combined with artificial-intelligence classification can improve identification of transformer fault conditions and support intervention before catastrophic failure disrupts supply (Thango, 2022). For industrial facilities, resilient fault management depends on coordinated sensing, diagnosis, protection and

recovery processes that convert fault information into timely operational action while maintaining critical electrical services.

1.4 Review Aim, Scope, and Objectives

This review aims to critically examine how integrated power quality monitoring and fault management can strengthen electrical system resilience within industrial facilities. It focuses on the interdependence between electrical reliability, disturbance detection, fault diagnosis, protection coordination, maintenance decision-making, and operational continuity. The review considers industrial environments in which production processes depend heavily on stable power supply, sensitive electrical equipment, automated control systems, distributed energy resources, and increasingly digitalized monitoring architectures.

The scope encompasses major power quality disturbances, including voltage sags and swells, harmonics, interruptions, unbalance, transients, grounding abnormalities, and load-related instability. It further evaluates monitoring technologies, sensor networks, supervisory control platforms, diagnostic methods, predictive maintenance practices, fault isolation mechanisms, and restoration strategies that can reduce downtime and limit fault propagation. Particular attention is given to the practical integration of technical monitoring with maintenance and operational response.

The review has three principal objectives. First, it assesses how power quality disturbances influence industrial reliability and resilience. Second, it evaluates contemporary approaches for detecting, diagnosing, and managing electrical faults before they escalate into major operational failures. Third, it synthesizes strategies for integrating continuous monitoring, intelligent diagnostics, maintenance planning, and coordinated recovery into a unified resilience framework for industrial electrical systems.

2. Industrial Power Quality and Resilience

Industrial power quality and resilience are closely connected because the ability of a facility to sustain production depends not only on the availability of electricity but also on the electrical characteristics delivered to sensitive loads. Modern plants employ variable-speed drives, programmable controllers, robotics, process instrumentation, power-electronic converters and communication systems that can react adversely to voltage sags, swells, harmonics, transients, unbalance and frequency deviations. Power-electronic interfaces can simultaneously improve controllability and create new distortion pathways, making coordinated mitigation essential where nonlinear loads and sensitive processes coexist (Sannino, Svensson & Larsson, 2003).

Power quality therefore forms part of the resilience architecture of an industrial facility. Resilience requires the electrical system to tolerate disturbances, prevent their escalation, preserve priority loads and restore normal operation rapidly. Unified power-quality conditioners illustrate how compensation technologies can address voltage and current disturbances within a coordinated framework rather than treating individual anomalies independently (Khadkikar, 2012). This integrated perspective is particularly relevant to facilities where brief disturbances can trip production lines even when the upstream supply is restored.

Distributed generation can strengthen resilience by diversifying supply, reducing dependence on a single grid connection and enabling local support during upstream disturbances. However, its benefits depend on appropriate siting, control, protection and power-quality management. Experience from Mauritius shows that distributed generation can alter voltage regulation, harmonic behaviour, protection coordination and system stability, where networks were originally designed for unidirectional power flow (Essackjee & Ah King, 2015). In Nigeria, the integration of solar generation into small-scale manufacturing similarly demonstrates the strategic value of on-site renewable supply for industries exposed to unreliable electricity, while highlighting the importance of coordinated electrical design (Sunday & Omoegun, 2018).

Microgrids provide a more structured means of combining local generation, storage and controllable loads. Their capacity to operate in grid-connected or islanded modes can enhance continuity during external disturbances, although successful deployment depends on control hierarchy, resource coordination and seamless operating transitions (Guerrero et al., 2011). Microgrids are therefore increasingly associated with resilience because they can localize disturbances and maintain essential functions, yet their technical performance remains sensitive to converter control, protection, generation variability and load dynamics (Hirsch, Parag & Guerrero, 2018).

Stability and power quality are also inseparable in converter-dominated industrial networks. Reduced inertia, rapid control interactions and varying operating modes can produce dynamic behaviour that differs substantially from conventional synchronous systems. Stability definitions and analytical methods are therefore necessary when designing resilient microgrids containing renewable generation, storage and electronically interfaced loads (Farrokhabadi et al., 2020). These issues become more pronounced when facilities combine intermittent resources with conventional generation and utility supply.

Hybridization also introduces opportunities for energy-system resilience. Modelling hydrogen as a secondary energy carrier demonstrates how electricity can be linked with storage and alternative energy pathways, potentially increasing flexibility where variable renewable generation is present (Adeniji et al., 2019). At facility level, such flexibility may support load

shifting, backup capability and improved utilization of locally generated electricity, provided conversion losses, control requirements and safety constraints are incorporated into design.

Industrial demand itself must be considered when evaluating power quality. Thermal and electromechanical loads can exhibit different sensitivities to supply variation, switching behaviour and control strategy. Studies of air-conditioning systems demonstrate how control choices affect efficiency and operating behaviour, reinforcing the need to understand load characteristics rather than treating demand as electrically homogeneous (Sunday et al., 2019). In resilient facilities, monitoring must therefore distinguish between disturbances originating from the external network and those produced internally by large motors, converters, switching devices or rapidly changing processes.

3. Integrated Power Quality Monitoring Systems

Integrated power quality monitoring transforms resilience from reactive practice into a continuous, data-driven capability. In industrial facilities, monitoring systems must capture voltage, current, frequency, harmonics, power factor, unbalance and transient events where disturbances can affect critical processes. Their value lies not simply in measurement, but in converting electrical data into information supporting fault recognition, protection coordination, maintenance planning and restoration. Advanced technologies, including smart meters, power-quality monitors and micro-phasor measurement units, improve observability through higher-resolution measurement and remote analytics (Saldaña-González et al., 2020).

An effective architecture therefore requires integration across sensing, communication, supervisory control and data-management layers. Conventional meters may reveal long-term energy use but miss short-duration disturbances that trip drives, programmable controllers or sensitive electronic loads. Smart metering expands visibility by supporting automated acquisition, transmission and interpretation of electrical information across distributed assets. Combined with analytics, these data streams can reveal consumption anomalies, voltage deviations and equipment behaviour that remain hidden between periodic inspections (Alahakoon & Yu, 2016).

Communication performance is equally important because monitoring loses operational value when measurements arrive late, inconsistently or without synchronization. Industrial networks must provide bandwidth, reliability, interoperability, cybersecurity and quality of service across devices with different reporting rates. Smart-grid research demonstrates that sensing and metering depend on robust communication standards to connect field equipment, controllers and decision platforms within an integrated operational environment (Güngör et al., 2011).

Power-quality monitoring must also account for measurement limitations under distorted conditions. Smart meters can experience accuracy challenges when voltage and current waveforms depart from sinusoidal assumptions, particularly in networks containing converters, renewable generation and nonlinear loads. Device selection, calibration and interpretation are therefore necessary when monitoring dynamic power-quality conditions rather than relying solely on conventional energy-metering functions (Quijano Cetina, Roscoe & Wright, 2017).

Internet-of-Things architectures further extend monitoring by linking distributed sensors with gateways, supervisory platforms and remote analytics. Wireless sensor networks can provide frequent feeder and equipment measurements while reducing the difficulty of extending wired monitoring to dispersed assets. Their usefulness, however, depends on communication reliability, latency, security and the ability to distinguish actionable events from large volumes of routine measurements (Bagdadee, Hoque & Zhang, 2020).

For industrial environments, monitoring should support automatic fault recognition rather than function only as a historical recorder. Smart-grid fault studies show that advanced metering infrastructure, event detection and classification can improve situational awareness by identifying abnormal conditions and organizing them according to fault characteristics and network requirements (Rivas & Abrão, 2020). Rapid diagnosis is particularly important where a local disturbance can escalate into wider process interruption.

African industrial experience illustrates why monitoring must be positioned close to actual plant disturbances. Analysis of a quarry-processing distribution network in Ghana linked capacitor-bank malfunction to harmonic resonance within the installation, demonstrating the importance of measuring harmonic conditions before equipment failure is attributed solely to external utility supply (Attachie & Amuzuvi, 2013). This supports locating monitors at incoming supplies, major buses, capacitor banks and converter-rich areas.

At supervisory level, secure data exchange is essential because monitoring interacts with SCADA-controlled electrical infrastructure. Blockchain-assisted approaches have been proposed to strengthen the integrity and security of information exchanged across SCADA environments, where compromised data could undermine operational decisions and protection responses (Opara et al., 2022). Industrial monitoring must therefore treat cybersecurity and data trustworthiness as resilience requirements.

Integration with process automation can further improve measurement usefulness. PLC-based platforms and engineering environments such as Siemens TIA Portal allow plant variables, alarms and control logic to be coordinated within automation systems, enabling power-quality events to be interpreted alongside process states and equipment behaviour (Sunday & Omoegun, 2022). This linkage helps distinguish electrical disturbances from process-induced events.

Distributed-energy environments add complexity because power may flow bidirectionally among utility connections, renewable sources, storage and local loads. Peer-to-peer energy architectures demonstrate how digital platforms can coordinate decentralized transactions and distributed resources, increasing the need for trustworthy, granular measurements across participating nodes (Shittu et al., 2021). For industrial facilities, integrated monitoring should therefore combine electrical measurements, event records, process information and secure communications within a unified diagnostic environment capable of detecting deterioration, locating disturbances and informing coordinated fault-management actions before continuity is materially compromised.

4. Electrical Fault Detection and Diagnosis

Electrical fault detection and diagnosis are central to industrial resilience because faults rarely remain isolated events; they can propagate through interconnected feeders, drives, motors, transformers, switchgear and control systems, causing process interruption, equipment damage and safety hazards. Effective diagnosis therefore requires a structured sequence of signal acquisition, disturbance detection, feature extraction, fault classification and location. Reviews of transmission and distribution techniques show that current and voltage measurements become diagnostically useful only after relevant features are extracted from complex waveforms, particularly where transient and non-stationary behaviour complicate conventional protection logic (Chen et al., 2016).

Signal-processing methods remain fundamental because many electrical faults alter waveform magnitude, frequency content, phase relationships or transient energy. Wavelet-based analysis is especially valuable for locating abrupt changes in non-stationary signals and separating fault-related components across multiple resolution levels. Studies of transmission-system protection demonstrate that wavelet coefficients derived from current measurements can distinguish fault categories under varying operating conditions, supporting faster and more selective protective action (Prasad & Edward, 2016). In industrial plants, comparable techniques can be applied to feeder currents, motor signatures and converter outputs where transient disturbances must be distinguished from normal switching events.

Artificial intelligence has expanded diagnosis beyond fixed thresholds by allowing models to learn relationships between measured features and equipment condition. Research on the Nigerian transmission system has shown that artificial neural networks can support simultaneous fault detection, classification and location using simulated voltage and current data under different fault resistances, inception angles and locations (Uzubi, Ekwue & Ejiogu, 2017). This approach is particularly relevant to industrial networks where operating states vary and rigid decision boundaries may generate nuisance alarms or misclassification.

Condition assessment also requires methods capable of interpreting progressive equipment degradation. For power transformers, dissolved-gas analysis provides diagnostic information on thermal and electrical deterioration within insulating oil. South African research applying the Duval Triangle illustrates how gas patterns can be translated into recognizable transformer fault categories, providing maintenance teams with an evidence base for intervention before severe failure occurs (Mawelela et al., 2020). Such diagnosis complements online electrical monitoring by adding an asset-health perspective to fault management.

Modern fault diagnosis increasingly relies on machine learning to manage the volume and complexity of industrial monitoring data. Machine-learning frameworks can map extracted features to health states, automate classification and reduce dependence on manual interpretation, although model performance remains sensitive to data quality, operating variability and representative training samples (Lei et al., 2020). Artificial-intelligence research on rotating machinery similarly demonstrates the value of neural networks, support vector machines and deep-learning approaches for identifying bearing, rotor and gearbox abnormalities from condition-monitoring signals (Liu et al., 2018).

Table 1. Diagnostic approaches for resilient industrial electrical systems

Diagnostic approach	**Typical input**	**Primary diagnostic function**	**Resilience contribution**
Wavelet analysis	Voltage/current waveforms	Transient feature extraction	Rapid disturbance recognition
ANN classification	Electrical measurements	Fault type and location	Faster selective intervention
Dissolved-gas analysis	Transformer oil gases	Internal fault identification	Prevents catastrophic transformer failure
Statistical monitoring	Process measurements	Abnormal deviation detection	Supports early intervention
Machine learning	Multivariate sensor data	Automated health classification	Predictive maintenance support
Root-cause analytics	Outage and operating data	Failure-source identification	Accelerates corrective action

Early diagnosis is especially important because detectable precursors often emerge before functional failure. Reviews of rotating machinery show that weak fault signatures may be obscured by noise, speed variation and interacting components, making sensitive feature extraction essential for recognizing incipient degradation (Wei et al., 2019). Power-quality disturbance analysis presents a related challenge: sags, swells, harmonics, transients and

interruptions can overlap, requiring classifiers capable of distinguishing single and combined events from noisy measurements (Mishra, 2019).

Diagnostic performance should also be connected to root-cause analysis rather than stopping at event labeling. Decision-tree classifiers using outage, load and environmental data demonstrate how data integration can support identification of equipment-related failure causes, thereby improving maintenance prioritization and reducing restoration delays (Dehbozorgi, Rastegar & Dabbaghjamanesh, 2020). Statistical process control contributes a complementary perspective by distinguishing routine variation from assignable deviations and establishing systematic thresholds for intervention in manufacturing operations (Akanbi, Ganiu & Sunday, 2022).

5. Coordinated Fault Management Strategies

Coordinated fault management in industrial systems requires protective devices, monitoring platforms, maintenance personnel and operational controls to act as an integrated response chain rather than as isolated functions. The objective is not merely to clear a fault, but to restrict its physical and operational consequences, preserve healthy sections, maintain critical loads and restore normal production with minimum delay. Fault detection, isolation and restoration frameworks couple sensing, switching and reconfiguration into coordinated corrective action (Srivastava et al., 2022).

Protection coordination is the first barrier against fault propagation. Primary relays and circuit breakers must operate selectively so that only the affected zone is disconnected, while backup devices remain available if the primary scheme fails. Nigerian substation modelling demonstrates that inappropriate overcurrent relay settings can produce poor operating sequences, whereas coordinated time-current characteristics improve discrimination between primary and backup protection (Nwachi et al., 2022). Poor selectivity can transform a localized equipment fault into plant-wide interruption.

This challenge becomes more complex when distributed generation, inverter-based resources or microgrids alter fault-current magnitude and direction. Conventional overcurrent arrangements designed for radial networks may lose sensitivity or coordination under grid-connected and islanded conditions. Protection strategies must therefore account for topology changes, bidirectional power flow, converter-limited fault current and changing source availability (Dagar, Gupta & Niranjan, 2021). Adaptive protection extends this principle by modifying relay settings or logic in response to operating configuration, thereby reducing the risk that fixed settings become inappropriate as network conditions change (Kaur, Prakash & Rao, 2021).

Fault isolation must be followed by deliberate restoration. Service-restoration algorithms can identify healthy de-energized sections, evaluate switching options and reconnect loads while

respecting voltage, thermal, radiality and equipment constraints. Centralized, distributed and hierarchical approaches provide different balances between system-wide optimization, communication dependency and response speed (Shen, Wu & Xue, 2020). For industrial plants, equivalent logic can prioritize essential production lines, safety systems, cooling, control rooms and data infrastructure rather than restoring every load simultaneously.

South African experience with automated normally open points demonstrates the practical importance of evaluating feeder capacity before back-feeding healthy sections after fault isolation. Fault Location, Isolation and Service Restoration arrangements can reduce interruption duration, but restoration commands must account for alternative supply capacity and switching feasibility to avoid transferring a fault-management problem into an overload condition (Mthethwa & Van Coller, 2019). Automated restoration therefore requires speed and constraint awareness.

Table 2. Coordinated fault-management framework for industrial facilities

Stage	Principal action	Coordination requirement	Resilience outcome
Detection	Identify abnormal electrical state	Monitoring–protection agreement	Faster recognition
Isolation	Disconnect minimum faulted zone	Primary–backup selectivity	Limits propagation
Assessment	Verify healthy assets and loads	SCADA, diagnostics and operators	Prevents unsafe restoration
Reconfiguration	Establish alternative supply	Switching and capacity checks	Preserves critical services
Restoration	Re-energize prioritized loads	Sequenced operational control	Reduces downtime
Review	Analyse event and response	Maintenance–production feedback	Reduces recurrence

Restoration increasingly benefits from optimization because several technically feasible recovery paths may exist after a disturbance. Smart-grid research emphasizes network reconfiguration, mathematical models and optimization methods for maximizing restored load while preserving operational constraints, showing why restoration should be treated as a structured decision problem rather than improvised switching (Fan et al., 2021). This is relevant where backup generators, storage and sectionalizing devices offer multiple recovery combinations.

Decentralized decision-making can also shorten response times. Multiagent architectures allow local controllers to exchange information and coordinate protection, fault isolation and

restoration without relying exclusively on a central controller, potentially improving robustness when network topology is dynamic (Shobole & Wadi, 2021). However, communication latency and cyber integrity must be controlled because delayed messages can compromise coordinated protection.

Renewable-rich AC microgrids further require protection capable of adapting to grounding arrangements, fault-current characteristics and changing operating modes. Communication-assisted and adaptive schemes can improve selectivity where conventional assumptions no longer hold (Chandra, Singh & Pant, 2021). Operational coordination must extend beyond protection engineering to include personnel and production planning. Workforce-oriented line balancing shows the broader importance of allocating human resources and workflow capacity around operational constraints, a principle applicable when electrical incidents require coordinated maintenance response across multi-shift facilities (Akanbi, Ganiu & Sunday, 2022).

6. Strengthening Industrial Electrical System Resilience

Strengthening industrial electrical system resilience requires a shift from isolated reliability measures toward a coordinated architecture that anticipates disruption, absorbs abnormal conditions, sustains critical functions, and accelerates recovery. In industrial facilities, resilience must be embedded across equipment design, protection, operational planning, maintenance, energy management, and governance. Power-system research emphasizes that resilience should be assessed through robustness, resourcefulness, rapidity, and adaptability rather than outage frequency alone, because severe disturbances can exceed assumptions underlying conventional reliability planning (Bhusal et al., 2020).

A practical resilience strategy begins with risk-informed identification of critical electrical assets and production dependencies. Transformers, switchgear, motor-control centres, variable-speed drives, control power supplies, communication infrastructure, cooling systems, and emergency-generation interfaces should be ranked according to failure consequences. Quantitative resilience metrics can then evaluate degradation, service loss, restoration trajectory, and intervention effectiveness, allowing facilities to compare hardening and recovery investments consistently (Raoufi et al., 2020). This approach directs resources toward components whose failure would most severely affect safety or production continuity.

Physical hardening should be combined with operational flexibility. Strengthening substations, improving environmental protection, maintaining critical spares, diversifying supply paths, sectionalizing distribution systems, and deploying distributed energy resources can reduce exposure to high-impact events. Reviews of resilience-enhancement measures show that effective strategies span prevention, absorption, adaptation, and restoration, with no single intervention sufficient across all disturbance types (Mahzarnia et al., 2020). Industrial facilities

should therefore adopt layered measures addressing infrastructure vulnerability and recovery capability.

Data-driven resilience management strengthens preparedness by converting historical outages and real-time measurements into predictive information. A machine-learning framework validated with outage records from four Nigerian states demonstrated potential to classify outage types, forecast events, identify maintenance targets, and support restoration planning across disturbance causes (Adedokun et al., 2022). Industrial facilities can apply similar principles by integrating power-quality monitors, protection records, SCADA alarms, maintenance histories, and process data to identify recurrent weaknesses before disruptive failure.

Demand-side flexibility can also support resilience by reducing electrical stress and preserving priority services during constrained operating conditions. Evidence from the Ghanaian distribution context indicates that demand-response programmes can improve network reliability when loads are managed with available generation resources (Amewornu & Nwulu, 2021). Industrial plants can translate this principle into automated load shedding, production rescheduling, controlled demand reduction, and prioritized restoration of essential processes.

Long-term resilience must also account for evolving hazards. Extreme weather can damage power-system infrastructure, lengthen repair times, and create correlated failures across multiple assets, making resilience planning dependent on preventive and corrective measures (Panteli & Mancarella, 2015). Facilities exposed to flooding, heat, storms, or supply-chain disruption should incorporate scenario analysis into electrical design reviews, emergency procedures, spare-parts strategy, and backup-energy planning.

Resilience assessment should remain iterative rather than static. System configurations, production loads, digital dependencies, renewable penetration, and operating risks change over time; consequently, resilience metrics and hardening priorities require periodic reassessment. Comprehensive resilience frameworks emphasize combining vulnerability analysis, quantitative assessment, smart-grid technologies, and adaptive planning to maintain performance under changing conditions (Afzal et al., 2020).

Governance provides the organizational mechanism through which these technical measures remain effective. Data visibility, accountability, standardized procedures, compliance monitoring, and continuous improvement are necessary to prevent resilience initiatives from becoming fragmented across maintenance, engineering, operations, and procurement. Governance models centred on traceability and operational quality illustrate the value of coordinated oversight in complex systems (Akin-Oluyomi & Akhigbe, 2022). For industrial electrical systems, such governance should connect monitoring results with maintenance priorities, capital planning, emergency response, training, and post-event learning so that

resilience becomes an enduring operational capability rather than disconnected technical controls.

Conclusion

This review has established that industrial electrical resilience is achieved most effectively when power quality monitoring, fault detection, diagnosis, protection, maintenance, and restoration are treated as interconnected functions rather than isolated technical activities. The study's objectives were met by examining the principal electrical disturbances affecting industrial facilities, evaluating contemporary monitoring and diagnostic approaches, and synthesizing coordinated strategies capable of strengthening continuity under abnormal operating conditions.

The review found that voltage disturbances, harmonics, grounding deficiencies, load variability, converter-dominated systems, and distributed energy integration can significantly compromise equipment performance and production stability. It further demonstrated that continuous monitoring, advanced sensing, SCADA integration, intelligent fault classification, condition assessment, and predictive analytics can improve early recognition of abnormal conditions. However, detection alone is insufficient. Resilience depends on converting diagnostic information into selective isolation, maintenance prioritization, network reconfiguration, and controlled restoration. Adaptive protection, distributed resources, demand flexibility, critical-load prioritization, and risk-informed asset management therefore emerge as essential components of a comprehensive resilience strategy.

The findings also indicate that technical resilience must be supported by organizational preparedness. Effective governance, competent personnel, validated operating procedures, cybersecurity, spare-parts readiness, and periodic contingency testing are necessary to ensure that technological capabilities translate into dependable operational outcomes.

Accordingly, industrial facilities should adopt integrated monitoring architectures that combine electrical measurements with process and asset-condition data; implement predictive and reliability-centred maintenance for critical equipment; periodically review protection coordination as network configurations evolve; and develop restoration plans based on clearly defined load priorities. Future improvement should also emphasize interoperable data platforms, explainable diagnostic models, resilience performance metrics, and scenario-based testing. Collectively, these measures can reduce fault escalation, shorten recovery time, protect critical assets, and sustain industrial operations under increasingly complex electrical and operational conditions.

References

References

1. Adedokun, S.O., Luo, Z., Luk, P., Balta-Ozkan, N., Khan, M.F. and Zhang, X. (2022) 'ML self-sufficient sustainable energy resiliency management system: Outage forecasting, classification and restoration with maintenance indicators for all types of power outages', in 2022 IEEE Design Methodologies Conference (DMC), pp. 91–96. DOI: 10.1109/DMC55175.2022.9906471.
2. Adeniji, I.O., Shittu, H., Opara, I.S., Elumilade, R.A. and Liadi, K.O. (2019) 'Hydrogen as a secondary energy carrier: Modeling its integration in national grids', IRE Journal, 3(1), p. 16.
3. Afzal, S., Mokhlis, H., Illias, H.A., Mansor, N.N. and Shareef, H. (2020) 'State-of-the-art review on power system resilience and assessment techniques', IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 14(25), pp. 6107–6121. DOI: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2020.0531.
4. Ahmad, R. and Kamaruddin, S. (2012) 'An overview of time-based and condition-based maintenance in industrial application', Computers & Industrial Engineering, 63(1), pp. 135–149. DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2012.02.002.
5. Ahmed, Q. (2021) 'Reliability-based fault analysis models with industrial applications: A systematic literature review', Quality and Reliability Engineering International, 37(4), pp. 1307–1333. DOI: 10.1002/qre.2797.
6. Akanbi, O., Ganiu, O.S. and Sunday, E.A. (2022) 'A workforce-centric line-balancing model for throughput maximization in multi-shift operations', Shodhshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(3). DOI: 10.32628/SHISRRJ.
7. Akanbi, O., Ganiu, O.S. and Sunday, E.A. (2022) 'Comprehensive review of statistical process control (SPC) for precision weight management in global food manufacturing', Gyanshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(3), pp. 433–461.
8. Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. and Akhigbe, R. (2022) 'A supply chain governance model for enhancing compliance and operational quality across retail networks', International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 3(6), pp. 860–878. DOI: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.6.860-878.
9. Alahakoon, D. and Yu, X. (2016) 'Smart electricity meter data intelligence for future energy systems: A survey', IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 12(1), pp. 425–436. DOI: 10.1109/TII.2015.2414355.
10. Amewornu, E.M. and Nwulu, N.I. (2021) 'Assessing the impact of demand response programs on the reliability of the Ghanaian distribution network', PLOS ONE, 16(3), e0248012. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248012.
11. Attachie, J.C. and Amuzuvi, C.K. (2013) 'Power quality analysis in a distribution network of a quarry processing plant', Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 1(4), pp. 90–94. DOI: 10.11648/j.jeee.20130104.15.

12. Bagdadee, A.H., Hoque, M.Z. and Zhang, L. (2020) 'IoT-based wireless sensor network for power quality control in smart grid', Procedia Computer Science, 167, pp. 1148–1160. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2020.03.417.
13. Bhusal, N., Abdelmalak, M., Kamruzzaman, M. and Benidris, M. (2020) 'Power system resilience: Current practices, challenges, and future directions', IEEE Access, 8, pp. 18064–18086. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2968586.
14. Carvalho, T.P., Soares, F.A.A.M.N., Vita, R., Francisco, R.P., Basto, J.P. and Alcalá, S.G.S. (2019) 'A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance', Computers & Industrial Engineering, 137, 106024. DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024.
15. Chandra, A., Singh, G.K. and Pant, V. (2021) 'Protection of AC microgrid integrated with renewable energy sources—A research review and future trends', Electric Power Systems Research, 193, 107036. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsr.2021.107036.
16. Chen, K., Huang, C. and He, J. (2016) 'Fault detection, classification and location for transmission lines and distribution systems: A review on the methods', High Voltage, 1(1), pp. 25–33. DOI: 10.1049/hve.2016.0005.
17. Dagar, A., Gupta, P. and Niranjan, V. (2021) 'Microgrid protection: A comprehensive review', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 149, 111401. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2021.111401.
18. Dehbozorgi, M.R., Rastegar, M. and Dabbaghjamanesh, M. (2020) 'Decision tree-based classifiers for root-cause detection of equipment-related distribution power system outages', IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 14(24), pp. 5809–5815. DOI: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2020.0570.
19. Essackjee, I.A. and Ah King, R.T.F. (2015) 'Distributed generation – the other side of the coin', Institution of Engineers Mauritius Journal, pp. 63–72.
20. Fan, D., Ren, Y., Feng, Q., Liu, Y., Wang, Z. and Lin, J. (2021) 'Restoration of smart grids: Current status, challenges, and opportunities', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 143, 110909. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2021.110909.
21. Farrokhabadi, M., Cañizares, C.A., Simpson-Porco, J.W., Nasr, E., Fan, L., Mendoza-Araya, P.A., Tonkoski, R., Tamrakar, U., Hatziargyriou, N., Lagos, D., Wies, R.W., Paolone, M., Liserre, M., Meegahapola, L., Kabalan, M., Hajimiragha, A.H., Peralta, D., Elizondo, M.A., Schneider, K.P., Tuffner, F.K. and Reilly, J. (2020) 'Microgrid stability definitions, analysis, and examples', IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 35(1), pp. 13–29. DOI: 10.1109/TPWRS.2019.2925703.
22. Furse, C.M., Kafal, M., Razzaghi, R. and Shin, Y.J. (2021) 'Fault diagnosis for electrical systems and power networks: A review', IEEE Sensors Journal, 21(2), pp. 888–906. DOI: 10.1109/JSEN.2020.2987321.
23. Guerrero, J.M., Vasquez, J.C., Matas, J., de Vicuña, L.G. and Castilla, M. (2011) 'Hierarchical control of droop-controlled AC and DC microgrids—A general approach

toward standardization', IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 58(1), pp. 158–172. DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2010.2066534.

24. Güngör, V.C., Sahin, D., Kocak, T., Ergüt, S., Buccella, C., Cecati, C. and Hancke, G.P. (2011) 'Smart grid technologies: Communication technologies and standards', IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 7(4), pp. 529–539. DOI: 10.1109/TII.2011.2166794.
25. Hirsch, A., Parag, Y. and Guerrero, J. (2018) 'Microgrids: A review of technologies, key drivers, and outstanding issues', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 90, pp. 402–411. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.040.
26. Jardine, A.K.S., Lin, D. and Banjevic, D. (2006) 'A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance', Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20(7), pp. 1483–1510. DOI: 10.1016/j.ymssp.2005.09.012.
27. Kaur, G., Prakash, A. and Rao, K.U. (2021) 'A critical review of microgrid adaptive protection techniques with distributed generation', Renewable Energy Focus, 39, pp. 99–109. DOI: 10.1016/j.ref.2021.07.005.
28. Khadkikar, V. (2012) 'Enhancing electric power quality using UPQC: A comprehensive overview', IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 27(5), pp. 2284–2297. DOI: 10.1109/TPEL.2011.2172001.
29. Lei, Y., Yang, B., Jiang, X., Jia, F., Li, N. and Nandi, A.K. (2020) 'Applications of machine learning to machine fault diagnosis: A review and roadmap', Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 138, 106587. DOI: 10.1016/j.ymssp.2019.106587.
30. Liu, R., Yang, B., Zio, E. and Chen, X. (2018) 'Artificial intelligence for fault diagnosis of rotating machinery: A review', Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 108, pp. 33–47. DOI: 10.1016/j.ymssp.2018.02.016.
31. Lumbreras, D., Gálvez, E., Collado, A. and Zaragoza, J. (2020) 'Trends in power quality, harmonic mitigation and standards for light and heavy industries: A review', Energies, 13(21), 5792. DOI: 10.3390/en13215792.
32. Mahzarnia, M., Parsa Moghaddam, M., Baboli, P.T. and Siano, P. (2020) 'A review of the measures to enhance power systems resilience', IEEE Systems Journal, 14(3), pp. 4059–4070. DOI: 10.1109/JSYST.2020.2965993.
33. Mawelela, T.U., Nnachi, A.F., Akumu, A.O. and Abe, B.T. (2020) 'Fault diagnosis of power transformers using Duval Triangle', in 2020 IEEE PES/IAS PowerAfrica, pp. 1–5. DOI: 10.1109/PowerAfrica49420.2020.9219802.
34. Mishra, M. (2019) 'Power quality disturbance detection and classification using signal processing and soft computing techniques: A comprehensive review', International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems, 29(8), e12008. DOI: 10.1002/2050-7038.12008.
35. Moreno, R., Panteli, M., Mancarella, P., Rudnick, H., Lagos, T., Navarro, A., Ordonez, F. and Araneda, J.C. (2020) 'From reliability to resilience: Planning the grid against the

extremes', IEEE Power and Energy Magazine, 18(4), pp. 41–53. DOI: 10.1109/MPE.2020.2985439.

36. Mthethwa, F. and Van Coller, J.M. (2019) 'Supply auto-restoration using automated normally open (N/O) point on medium voltage (MV) networks for the fault location, isolation and service restoration (FLISR) technology', in 2019 Southern African Universities Power Engineering Conference/Robotics and Mechatronics/Pattern Recognition Association of South Africa (SAUPEC/RobMech/PRASA), pp. 395–399. DOI: 10.1109/RoboMech.2019.8704759.
37. Nwachi, G.U., Obi, P.I., Amako, E.A. and Ezeonye, C.S. (2022) 'Modeling and simulation of a coordinated power system protection using overcurrent relay', Nigerian Research Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, 7(1), pp. 238–249. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6725273.
38. Olutimehin, A.O. and Ganiu, O.S. (2022) 'Integrating Lean Six Sigma with reliability-centered maintenance: A unified framework for manufacturing excellence', International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 3(6), pp. 983–996. DOI: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.6.983-996.
39. Opara, I.S., Shittu, M.A., Adeniji, I.O. and Shittu, H. (2022) 'Blockchain-assisted secure data exchange architectures for SCADA-controlled power systems', IRE Journals, 6(3), p. 21.
40. Panteli, M. and Mancarella, P. (2015) 'Influence of extreme weather and climate change on the resilience of power systems: Impacts and possible mitigation strategies', Electric Power Systems Research, 127, pp. 259–270. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsr.2015.06.012.
41. Prasad, A. and Edward, J.B. (2016) 'Application of wavelet technique for fault classification in transmission systems', Procedia Computer Science, 92, pp. 78–83. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2016.07.326.
42. Quijano Cetina, R., Roscoe, A.J. and Wright, P.S. (2017) 'Challenges for smart electricity meters due to dynamic power quality conditions of the grid: A review', 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Applied Measurements for Power Systems (AMPS), Liverpool, United Kingdom, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/AMPS.2017.8078345.
43. Ramere, M.D. and Laseinde, O.T. (2021) 'Optimization of condition-based maintenance strategy prediction for aging automotive industrial equipment using FMEA', Procedia Computer Science, 180, pp. 229–238. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.160.
44. Raoufi, H., Vahidinasab, V. and Mehran, K. (2020) 'Power systems resilience metrics: A comprehensive review of challenges and outlook', Sustainability, 12(22), 9698. DOI: 10.3390/su12229698.
45. Rivas, A.E.L. and Abrão, T. (2020) 'Faults in smart grid systems: Monitoring, detection and classification', Electric Power Systems Research, 189, 106602. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106602.

46. Roos, F. and Bansal, R.C. (2019) 'Reactive power and harmonic compensation: A case study for the coal-mining industry', Journal of Energy in Southern Africa, 30(1), pp. 34–48. DOI: 10.17159/2413-3051/2019/v30i1a2473.
47. Saldaña-González, A.E., Sumper, A., Aragüés-Peñalba, M. and Smolnikar, M. (2020) 'Advanced distribution measurement technologies and data applications for smart grids: A review', Energies, 13(14), 3730. DOI: 10.3390/en13143730.
48. Sannino, A., Svensson, J. and Larsson, T. (2003) 'Power-electronic solutions to power quality problems', Electric Power Systems Research, 66(1), pp. 71–82. DOI: 10.1016/S0378-7796(03)00073-7.
49. Shen, F., Wu, Q. and Xue, Y. (2020) 'Review of service restoration for distribution networks', Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, 8(1), pp. 1–14. DOI: 10.35833/MPCE.2018.000782.
50. Shittu, H., Shittu, M., Adeleke, O. and Adedokun, O. (2021) 'Blockchain-based energy trading models for peer-to-peer renewable microgrids', International Journal of Research in Electrical and Electronics Engineering, 4.
51. Shittu, M.A., Adeniji, I.O., Shittu, H. and Opara, I.S. (2020) 'Grounding system design optimization for medium-voltage distribution networks in emerging power markets', IRE Journal, 3(11), pp. 547–555. DOI: 10.64388/IREV3I11-1714040.
52. Shobole, A.A. and Wadi, M. (2021) 'Multiagent systems application for the smart grid protection', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 149, 111352. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2021.111352.
53. Skarvelis-Kazakos, S., Van Harte, M., Panteli, M., Ciapessoni, E., Cirio, D., Pitto, A., Moreno, R., Kumar, C., Mak, C., Dobson, I., Challen, C., Papic, M. and Rieger, C. (2022) 'Resilience of electric utilities during the COVID-19 pandemic in the framework of the CIGRE definition of power system resilience', International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 136, 107703. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2021.107703.
54. Srivastava, I., Bhat, S., Vardhan, B.V.S. and Bokde, N.D. (2022) 'Fault detection, isolation and service restoration in modern power distribution systems: A review', Energies, 15(19), 7264. DOI: 10.3390/en15197264.
55. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2018) 'Integrating solar power solutions in small-scale manufacturing industries in Nigeria', International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 4(8), pp. 832–853.
56. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2019) 'Optimizing electrical load distribution for hybrid solar installations in developing economies', International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 3(6), pp. 27–47.
57. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2022) 'Automation of process control systems using Siemens TIA Portal: A case study approach', International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 6(5), pp. 30–55.

58. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2022) 'Smart fault detection in HVAC systems using sensor-based monitoring', International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 7(4), pp. 380–403.
59. Sunday, E.A., Omoegun, G.O., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2019) 'Thermodynamic efficiency and control strategies in residential air conditioning systems', International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 3(3), pp. 52–76.
60. Sunday, E.A., Omoegun, G.O., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2020) 'Transitioning from reactive to predictive maintenance in mechanical systems', International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 6(6), pp. 425–447. DOI: 10.32628/CSEIT206643.
61. Thango, B.A. (2022) 'Dissolved gas analysis and application of artificial intelligence technique for fault diagnosis in power transformers: A South African case study', Energies, 15(23), 9030. DOI: 10.3390/en15239030.
62. Uzubi, U., Ekwue, A. and Ejiogu, E. (2017) 'Artificial neural network technique for transmission line protection on Nigerian power system', in 2017 IEEE PES/IAS PowerAfrica, pp. 52–58. DOI: 10.1109/PowerAfrica.2017.7991199.
63. Wei, Y., Li, Y., Xu, M. and Huang, W. (2019) 'A review of early fault diagnosis approaches and their applications in rotating machinery', Entropy, 21(4), 409. DOI: 10.3390/e21040409.
64. Zonta, T., da Costa, C.A., da Rosa Righi, R., de Lima, M.J., da Trindade, E.S. and Li, G.P. (2020) 'Predictive maintenance in the Industry 4.0: A systematic literature review', Computers & Industrial Engineering, 150, 106889. DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2020.106889.